

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/572,905	Applicant(s) COMBARET ET AL.	
	Examiner KATHERINE SALMON	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.

(2) Jeffrey Bousquet.

Date of Interview: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE

Claims discussed:
1-9

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Katherine Salmon/
Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Mr. Bousquet to confirm that the election of SEQ ID No. 2,3, 7,8, 10, 22, 25, 29, and 34 in the response to restriction (12/06/2007) was the combination of SEQ ID Nos. which the applicant was electing for full response of the requirement for restriction mailed 11/16/2007. Mr. Bousquet confirmed the election of SEQ ID No. 2,3,7,8, 10, 22, 25, 29 and 34.